UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,559	11/21/2003	Hang-Ching Lin	LINH3023/EM	8466
23364 7 BACON & THO	00 03/22/2007 MAS. PLLC		EXAMINER	
625 SLATERS I	LANE	HUYNH, CARLIC K		
FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DA	AYS	03/22/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/7	717,559	LIN ET AL.				
		Exai	miner	Art Unit				
			c K. Huynh	1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears o	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MANSIONS OF THE MANSIO	AILING DATE C of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In unication. tutory period will apply will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUI in no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) M the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on						
· · · · —	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
,	, <del>-</del>							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election	n requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b)☐ objected	to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawin	g(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	it(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			w Summary (PTO-413)				
· =	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		6)  Other: _					

Application/Control Number: 10/717,559 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition capable of enhancing immunity comprising a lanostane of formula (I), classified in class 514, subclass 169.
- II. Claims 14-23, drawn to a method of enhancing immunity comprising administering a lanostane of formula (I), classified in class 514, subclass 169.
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case, (1) other compounds can be used with the method of enhancing immunity, e.g. anti-cancer agents and antibiotics; and (2) a lanostane of formula (I) can be used in other treatments, e.g. treatment for high blood pressure and cancer.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. It is noted that while the searches of Groups I and II may be overlapping, there is no reason to believe that the searches would be coextensive. In searching Group I, the examiner will be focusing on the patentability of a pharmaceutical composition comprising a lanostane of formula (I) and not a method of enhancing immunity comprising administering a

Art Unit: 1617

lanostane of formula (I) of Group II. Conversely, in searching Group II, the examiner will be

focusing on the process of use and not the product of Group I.

3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

(1) a lanostane of formula (I).

If Group I or II is elected, the applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect (1) a single disclosed species of an esterase inhibitor for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3, 6, 13-16, and 23 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

A telephone call to the attorney is not required where: 1) the restriction requirement is complex, 2) the application is being prosecuted pro se, or 3) the examiner knows from past experience that a telephone election will not be made (MPEP § 812.01). Therefore, since this restriction requirement is considered complex, a call to the attorney for telephone election was not made.

## Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. <u>All</u> claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102,

Application/Control Number: 10/717,559

Art Unit: 1617

103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained.

Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlic K. Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5574. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckh

